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Theoretical foundations: Complex Interdependence Theory
Theoretical foundation
Complex Interdependence Theory

The Theory of Complex Interdependence has three main characteristics, each of which ring true with the arguments put forth in this paper:

1. Acknowledges that there are several actors or channels that connect societies.

2. There is an ‘absence of hierarchy among issues’ which is to say that military and security issues don’t always dominate the agenda.

3. When complex interdependence prevails, military force or the threat of it will not continue to be the tool of choice for solving issues.

It also predicts that International Organizations will play a very different and important role in geopolitics. Not only do they provide weaker states to share a platform with more powerful ones, but it also helps set international agenda and promotes the formation of coalitions and political initiatives.
Conceptual framework
The origins of SSC can be traced back to the Banding Conference of 1955 where the Non-Aligned Movement was launched, it has evolved drastically since then.

The new form of SSC has certain characteristics that clearly set it apart from its earlier versions.

**INTENT | OBJECTIVE**

In the Case of Africa, where most of the countries became independent in the 1950’s and 1960’s SSC was not a viable option. Rather it looked to the financially rich and technologically advanced North to foster economic relations.

In this context, the rise of China and India as developing countries, offers countries in Africa alternatives.
By moving away from the classical notions of International Relations theories, the philosophical undertones of this paper rests on the idea that **no state can act in isolation and thrive in an increasingly interdependent world.**

The crux of the argument: As a few developing nations transition into becoming more powerful players in world affairs, they can share their experiences with other developing countries and **create symbiotic partnerships.**

They rely on tools such as Foreign Direct Investment, technical, skills and knowledge transfer that build capacity and not create unhealthy dependencies on aid but rather help diversify economies and introduce **sustainable development methods.**
Systemic Analysis: The Indian and Chinese State in Africa
India & China in Africa: An Overview

- Capacity, Skill Building
- Education, Training
- Development Cooperation, Poverty reduction
- Institutional Frameworks, White Paper on Africa Policy
- Military Base, Security
- Aid, Trade, Investment
- Manufacturing
- Technology, Media, Culture
- Summit Diplomacy, Charm offensive
- Health
- Infrastructure
- Agriculture
Realigning Priorities

• Although relationships between India, China and countries in Africa were built under the rubric of South-South cooperation, it would not be inaccurate to argue that in the past few decades, countries in Africa have not been foreign policy priorities for New Delhi or Beijing.

• Close examination of the literature and joint statements emanating from the multiple IAFS and the FOCAC indicate that Africa is becoming an increasingly important actor in their foreign policy formulations.

• The individual and collective clout that African nations enjoy are being leveraged to identify and pursue common priorities pertaining to the global governance structures but also to further both New Delhi’s and Beijing’s larger geopolitical ambitions.

• Their approach to and interactions with several African countries are also indicative of their evolving developmental strategies, priorities and instruments modeled to be different from western approaches.

• An attempt to counter the charges of neocolonialism and short sighted resource seeking gains that both New Delhi and Beijing have been accused of, literature from both IAFS and FOCAC highlight the civilizational, historical relations between actors.

• Interestingly these interactions have transcended a state-to-state relationship to include subnational actors.
India and Africa have a combined population of 2.3 billion. Indian diaspora in Africa consists of nearly 3 million people. There is a 50,000-strong ethnic African diaspora in India who descended from Bantu peoples of Southeast Africa.

The India-Africa bilateral trade is estimated to be around $70 billion. The trade has increased 20 times in the past 15 years.

Nearly 4,500 Indian soldiers are on the ground in Africa under various UN peacekeeping missions. A fully formed Indian female police unit is also present in Liberia.

Indian owned/operated companies are 2nd largest employers in Nigeria. India is currently spending $7.5 billion on infrastructure projects in over 40 countries.

Perceptions of China in Africa | 36 countries | 2014/2015

China ranks 2nd as a development model (after the U.S.) and as greatest external influence (after the former colonial powers). China is a “somewhat” or “very” positive influence in their country: 63% (average of countries).

Top factors contributing to:
- a positive image of China: investments in infrastructure and business development,
- a negative image of China: poor quality of products.
Sectoral Analysis: Infrastructure & Health
China - Infrastructure Sector

Dar es Salaam Port, 2019

Nairobi SGR, 2019

Zanzibar Terminal 2, 2019
Criticisms
India-Africa: Partnership in Health

• India-Africa health partnership is quite comprehensive.

• **Multilateral frameworks:** TEAM 9 (technology transfer in pharmaceuticals), Focus Africa (opened lines of credit benefiting the pharmaceutical sector), Pan Africa e network (telemedicine)

• **Medical tourism** - East Africans spent about $1 billion in 2015-16 seeking medical attention in India.

• A 10 million dollar **India-Africa health fund** > capacity building, scholarships.

• **Private actors:** Fortis, Apollo

• Biggest contribution: **Export of affordable drugs**
Potential: Pharmaceutical exports >> manufacturing

- Africa’s pharmaceutical market > $40 billion - $65 billion by 2020 > Several African countries are heavily reliant on imports of generics to meet their domestic demand for drugs as their local manufacturing capacity is either underdeveloped or non-existent. Countries on the continent are looking to develop their local pharmaceutical manufacturing industries

- IPI valued at $33 billion 2016 > India’s global pharmaceutical exports were worth $13 billion, accounting for 20% of total global exports > African countries are a significant export market for their products > Exports to Africa amounted to $3 billion in 2017

- Indian firms own the second largest number of USFDA approved pharmaceutical manufacturing plants, outside the US.

- Several small and medium-sized Indian pharmaceutical companies are keen on expanding their operations overseas and they stand to gain from the incentives provided by many host governments looking for foreign investors.
Criticisms

- Some Indian companies accused of selling sub-standard drugs.
- Low levels of investment in research
Sub-national actors
In Search of Opportunities:
The Indian farmer and the Chinese contractor

Ethiopia, 2017

Tanzania, 2018
In Conclusion:
Examining China’s multi prong engagement in Africa, it appears that diplomatic, political, economic and cultural forces are in concert, presenting an image of a state-led coordinated strategy. However, the reality is more sporadic than it appears to be, with multiple actors, numerous agendas and a general lack of cohesion. Alternatively, Indian businesses operating out of Africa seem to be working in silos with very little interaction with the state. While institutions like the Exim Bank who see this divide present excellent ideas to work in tandem and leverage strengths through their working papers, very few of these recommendations are acted upon and implemented. It wouldn’t be inaccurate therefore to argue that India’s Africa policy is driven by the corporate sector, with the government trying to play catch up. That said, the reinvigoration in India-Africa state to state relations has in some measure been driven by China’s ambitious foray into geographies where India has traditionally held sway.
The idea of weaving Indian or Chinese characteristics into the African growth story is one that needs to be explored by the African agency. As India and China craft their agendas for Africa, it is imperative that countries individually or the African Union collectively also have in place strategies to deal with Sino-Indian overtures. The Hausa proverb “When the music changes, so must the dance”, can be applied to the evolving relations between India, China and Africa as well. The jury is still out on whether New Delhi’s and Beijing’s Economic Diplomacy in Africa, which has the potential to help countries leapfrog their development processes, is in reality symbiotic in nature. One thing for sure however, is that their interactions is changing status quo on how business and geopolitics is conducted in the continent. For other powers engaged in the region, this means learning new steps and finding a new rhythm because if nothing else, the music has certainly changed.
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